

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA


<b>J. DOE, a minor child,</b>	)	
<b>by and through their mother, JANE DOE,</b>	)	
<b>and JANE DOE as an individual,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>No. CIV-24-34-R</b>
	)	
<b>RYAN WALTERS, an individual and in his</b>	)	
<b>official capacity as State Superintendent of</b>	)	
<b>Public Instruction and Chair of the</b>	)	
<b>Oklahoma State Board of Education,</b>	)	
<b>et al.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant</b>	)	

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Complaint [Doc. 6]. During the pendency of the Motion, Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint [Doc. 12]. This Amended Complaint “supersedes the original and renders it of no legal effect.” *Davis v. TXO Prod. Corp.*, 929 F.2d 1515, 1517 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) (internal quotation omitted).

Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 6] is therefore DENIED without prejudice to resubmission, if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.

  
DAVID L. RUSSELL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE